

# **Strategic Risk Register**

| Revision | Date                         |  |  |  |  |
|----------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1.       | March 2018                   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.       | September 2018               |  |  |  |  |
| 3.       | October 2018 (IJB & APS)     |  |  |  |  |
| 4.       | February 2019 (APS)          |  |  |  |  |
| 5.       | March 2019 (IJB)             |  |  |  |  |
| 6.       | August 2019 (APS)            |  |  |  |  |
| 7.       | October 2019 (LT)            |  |  |  |  |
| 8.       | November 2019 (IJB workshop) |  |  |  |  |
| 9.       | January 2020 (ahead of IJB)  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | March 2020 (RAPC)            |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | July 2020 (IJB)              |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | October 2020 (IJB            |  |  |  |  |
|          | Workshop)                    |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | November 2020 (IJB)          |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | January 2021 (RAPC)          |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | May 2021 (IJB)               |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | June 2021 (RAPC)             |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | September 2021 (RAPC)        |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | November 2021 (Following     |  |  |  |  |
|          | IJB Workshop and ahead       |  |  |  |  |
|          | of IJB meeting in Dec)       |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | February 2022 (RAPC)         |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | August 2022 (ahead of IJB    |  |  |  |  |
|          | Workshop)                    |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | Review reflecting            |  |  |  |  |
|          | workshop-IJB Oct 22          |  |  |  |  |
| 22       | November 2022 (RAPC)         |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | January 2023 (SLT)           |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | May 2023 (RAPC and IJB)      |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | September 2023 (ahead of     |  |  |  |  |
|          | deep dive in October 2023)   |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | November 2023 for RAPC       |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | December 2023 for annual     |  |  |  |  |
|          | JB Workshop (held in         |  |  |  |  |
|          | January 2024)                |  |  |  |  |
|          | Jan. 18.5. 7 = 0 = 1,        |  |  |  |  |



| 28 | February 2024 for RAPC |  |  |
|----|------------------------|--|--|
| 29 | April 2024 for Monthly |  |  |
|    | meeting of SLT         |  |  |
| 30 | July 2024-Updates from |  |  |
|    | Risk Owners            |  |  |
| 31 | August 2024 for SLT    |  |  |
| 32 | September 2024 for IJB |  |  |
| 33 | October/November 2024  |  |  |
|    | for RAPC               |  |  |

#### Introduction & Background

This document is made publicly available on our website, in order to help stakeholders (including members of the public) understand the challenges currently facing health and social care in Aberdeen.

This is the strategic risk register for the Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board, which lays the foundation for the development of work to prevent, mitigate, respond to and recover from the recorded risks against the delivery of its strategic plan.

Just because a risk is included in the Strategic Risk Register does not mean that it will happen, or that the impact would necessarily be as serious as the description provided.

More information can be found in the Board Assurance and Escalation Framework and the Risk Appetite Statement.

#### **Appendices**

- Risk Tolerances
- Risk Assessment Tables



# Colour - Key

| Risk Rating   | Low | Medium   | High      | Very High |
|---------------|-----|----------|-----------|-----------|
|               |     |          |           |           |
| Risk Movement |     | Decrease | No Change | Increase  |

| Level of Risk | Risk Tolerance  |
|---------------|---|
| Low           | Acceptable level of risk. No additional controls are required but any existing risk controls or contingency plans should be documented.  Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  |
| Medium        | Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures by Managers/Risk Owners. Where appropriate further action shall be taken to reduce the risk but the cost of control will probably be modest. Managers/Risk Owners shall document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective.  Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective.   |
| High          | Further action should be taken to mitigate/reduce/control the risk, possibly urgently and possibly requiring significant resources. Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners must document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective and confirm that it is not reasonably practicable to do more. The IJB's may wish to seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.  However the IJB's may wish to accept high risks that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public |
| Very High     | Unacceptable level of risk exposure that requires urgent and potentially immediate corrective action to be taken. Relevant Chief Officer/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees should be informed explicitly by the relevant Managers/Risk Owners.  Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective. The IJB's will seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.  However the IJB's may wish to accept opportunities that have an inherent very high risk that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public   |



## Risk Summary:

| 1 | Description of Risk: Cause: The commissioning of services from third sector and independent providers (eg General Practice and other primary care services)                        | High   |
|---|--|--------|
|   | requires all stakeholders to work collaboratively to meet the needs of local people.   |        |
|   | Event: Potential failure of commissioned services to deliver on their contract   |        |
|   | Consequence: There is a gap between what is required to meet the needs of local people, and services that are available.   |        |
|   | Consequences: to the individual include not having the right level of care delivered locally, by suitably trained staff.   |        |
|   | Consequences: ability of other commissioned services to cope with the unexpected increased in demand.  |        |
|   | Consequences to the partnership includes an inability to meet peoples needs for health and care and the additional financial burden of seeking that care in an alternative setting |        |
| 2 | Cause: JB financial failure and projection of overspend  | High   |
|   | Event: Demand outstrips available budget   |        |
|   | Consequence: JB can't deliver on its strategic plan priorities, statutory work, and projects.  |        |
| 3 | Cause: Under Integration arrangements, Aberdeen IJB hosts services on behalf of Moray and Aberdeenshire, who also hosts services on behalf   | High   |
|   | of Aberdeen City.  |        |
|   | Event: hosted services do not deliver the expected outcomes, fail to deliver transformation of services, or face service failure.  |        |
|   | Consequence: Failure to meet health outcomes for Aberdeen City, resources not being maximised and reputational damage.   |        |
| 4 | Cause: Performance standards/outcomes are set by national and regulatory bodies and those locally-determined performance standards are set   | High   |
|   | by the board itself.   |        |
|   | Event: There is a risk that the JB, and the services that it directs and has operational oversight of, fails to meet the national, regulatory and local                            |        |
|   | standards.   |        |
|   | Consequence: This may result in harm or risk of harm to people.  |        |
| 5 | Cause: Demographic & financial pressures requiring IJB to deliver transformational system change which helps to meet its strategic priorities.                                     | High   |
|   | Event: Failure to deliver transformation and sustainable systems change.   |        |
|   | Consequence: people not receiving the best health and social care outcomes   |        |
| 6 | Cause: Need to involve lived experience in service delivery and design as per Integration Principles   | Medium |
|   | Event: UB fails to maximise the opportunities created for engaging with our communities  |        |
|   | Consequences: Services are not tailored to individual needs; reputational damage; and IJB does not meet strategic aims   |        |
| 7 | Cause- The ongoing recruitment and retention of staff.   | High   |
|   | Event: Insufficient staff to provide patients/clients with services required.  |        |
|   | Consequence: Potential loss of life and unmet health and social care needs, leading to severe reputational damage.   | 115.1  |
| 8 | <b>Description of Risk:</b> there is a risk that buildings across the city, operated by, or overseen by, the IJB/ACHSCP are not being used to maximum efficiency and               | High   |
|   | are not in line with statutory/regulatory requirements.  |        |

Cause: Lack of funding to maintain buildings, not having adequate staff resource to operate from buildings, failing to adequately plan which buildings ACHSCP need and where, and failure to collaborate with partners on wider asset planning.

Event: IJB is unable to deliver on all of its strategic objectives

Consequence: services not tailored to users' needs and reputational damage to organisation



## -1-

Description of Risk: Cause: The commissioning of services from third sector and independent providers (eg General Practice and other primary care services) requires all stakeholders to work collaboratively to meet the needs of local people.

Event: Potential failure of commissioned services to continue to deliver on their contract

Consequence: There is a gap between what is required to meet the needs of local people, and services that are available.

Consequences: to the individual include not having the right level of care delivered locally, by suitably trained staff.

Consequences: ability of other commissioned services to cope with the unexpected increased in demand.

Consequences to the partnership includes an inability to meet people's needs for health and care and the additional financial burden of seeking that care in an alternative setting

|  |                                     | <u> </u> |          | •     |         |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
|  | s: Caring Toget<br>blers: Relations |          | tructure |       |         | Leadership Team Owner: Lead Commissioner and Primary Care Lead   |  |  |
|  | low/medium/hig                      |          | HIGH     |       |         | Rationale for Risk Rating:   |  |  |
| IMPACT   |                                     |          |          |       |         | <ul> <li>Primary Care</li> <li>Increased demand in primary care and widespread recruitment difficulties continues to impact on practices, which has led to practices prioritising the core GMS contract over any non-essential work eg enhanced contracts, including LARCs &amp; interim bed SLAs</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Almost<br>Certain  |                                     |          |          |       |         | <ul> <li>Increased demand in primary care and widespread recruitment difficulties continues to impact on<br/>practices, which has increased the risk and frequency of handing back their contracts, informally<br/>managing their practice list or closing their practice lists</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Likely   |                                     |          |          | ✓     |         | <ul> <li>Increase in unexpected/unplanned and planned demand is a risk to patients in the community,<br/>services in acute settings and the ACHSCP</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Possible   |                                     |          |          |       |         | <ul> <li>Limited/partial implementation of Primary Care Improvement Plan (PCIP) due to the MoU not being funded for full role out and limited relevant qualified staff residing in Aberdeen</li> <li>The risks remain the same, with the savings efficiencies across our systems, increase in demand and apparent level of confusion of how an individual in our community access primary care services</li> </ul>                     |  |  |
| Unlikely   |                                     |          |          |       |         | efficiently, and with the reduced workforce, the overall risk rating has not be able to reduce. The vison work followed up with the contract visits has enabled us to identify the issues with more certainty but does not enable solutions at the pace required to support practices or the whole   |  |  |
| Rare   |                                     |          |          |       |         | system at the level required.  |  |  |
| LIKELIHOOD   |                                     | Minor    | Moderate | Major | Extreme | Social Care     Recruitment and retention challenges in residential and non-residential businesses.  |  |  |
| Risk Movement: increase/decrease/no change  NO CHANGE 20.11.24 |                                     |          |          |       |         | <ul> <li>Uncertainly regarding the National Care Home Contract percentage uplift for 24/25</li> <li>Commercial viability of providers given additional pressures on finances and cost of living</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|  |                                     |          |          |       |         | Rationale for Risk Appetite: As 3 <sup>rd</sup> and independent sectors are key strategic partners in delivering transformation and improved care experience, we have a low tolerance of this risk. It is suggested that this risk tolerance should be shared right throughout the organisation, which may encourage staff and all providers of primary health and care services to escalate valid concerns at an earlier opportunity. |  |  |
| Controls:  |                                     |          |          |       |         | Mitigating Actions: Social Care  |  |  |



# Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership

# A caring partnership

#### General

- Grampian Data Gathering Group
- Quarterly Budget Monitoring Reports
- Social Care contract monitoring processes

#### **Social Care**

- Register of all social care contracts
- Dedicated and aligned Social Care Contract monitoring officers who provide a consistent approach to monitoring and managing all social care contracts
- Conscious cultural shift to change relationships, with all strategic commissioning activity proceeding in a collaborative manner.
- Examples of collaborative commissioning models used as exemplar models within the City.
   Care at Home, Mental Health / Learning disability accommodation review.
- Strategic Commissioning Programme Board (SCPB) (includes representatives from third and independent sectors)
- Residential and Non-Residential Oversight Groups-meet depend on the needs of the sector
- Providers Huddle (meets weekly)
- Stood up Care at Home Strategic Group (meets monthly)
- Winter Planning and coordination workshop held annually
- Care at Home clients have a personal RAG status identifying vulnerability and this will be linked to the Persons at Risk Database
- Care at home clients are being reviewed in regard to how their outcomes are supported using a tech first approach
- North East Commissioning Academy (City, Moray and Shire) to support sector
- Technology First approach is being used to support people achieve the best outcome.
- Social Care Pathways Board

#### **Primary Care**

- Local Medical Council
- GP Sub Group
- Clinical Director and Clinical Leads
- Primary Care Contracts Team
- City Primary Care Team
- GP Contract Oversight Group
- ACHSCP PCIP Project Group
- CCGG Clincal Care & Governance Group
- Grampian Sustainability Group
- Grampian Vision Programme Board
- Senior Leadership Team
- Grampian Vision Work and Sub Groups
- Quarterly Budget Monitoring Reports
- A Patient Stakeholder Group has been established around the Primary Care Visioning Exercise.
- Cluster Quality Leads
- Primary Care Leads Group (Scotland Wide and inclusive of Primary Care Scottish Government Leads)

- All opportunities to work in a collaborative manner to commission services are advertised on Public Contract Scotland, as well as individual invitations made to CEOs / owners of social care services.
- Additional offers are made to encourage dialogue where the provider is unavailable to attend collaborative commissioning workshops and other meetings.
- Agreed strategic commissioning approach for ACHSCP.
- Strategic commissioning programme board (SCPB members) established to provide governance framework for commissioning activity. All extensions to contracts are being robustly scrutinised by the SCPB.
- Continue to liaise with the care home sector through the collaborative approach detailed in the controls to explore agreement at a local level until a national agreement is in place with Scotland Excel
- Winter Planning and coordination workshop will be held in Winter 2024
- Interim provision in alternative housing including care homes, Very sheltered and Sheltered housing will be further developed during 2024-25
- All people using care at home Self Directed Support Options 1, 2 & 3 will be reviewed through a Technology first Lens.

#### **Primary Care**

- Contract Review Meetings with all Practices in Aberdeen City
- Working in collaboration with the Scottish Government, Local Medical Council (LMC) and Clinical Leads to ensure that the vision work demonstrates Grampian Primary Care requirements and risks.
- Collaborative approach with MEARS as the provider for the needs of asylum seekers in conjunction with City Practice Service Level Agreements, replacing the Health Assessment Team. Links with Aberdeen City Council's Settlement Team.
- Weekly RAG status on general practices to understand pressures.
- Working closely with those practices identified as highest risk in relation to premises (lease assignations and building limitations report)
- Working with Marywell and Homewards Project Group to identify opportunities for improvements for this vulnerable service group
- Working with all Practices to implement refreshed business continuity planning
- significant event learning across primary care and secondary care is now taking place
- Standard SEA template now rolled out for use across the city. SEA's now summarised and learning being shared across all four GP clusters



- NHSG Clinical, Assurance and Quality Group (with GP representation)
- As an addition to the above, significant event learning across primary care and secondary care is now taking place
- Medical Director's Annual Report 2024-identifies data across primary care settings to identify areas of risk, needs and opportunities for improvement

#### **Assurances:**

#### **Social Care**

- Progress against our strategic commissioning workplan monitored by Social Care Contracts Team
- Market facilitation opportunities and wide distribution of our market position statements
- Oversight of both residential and non-residential social care services via a variety of routes and methods
- Inspection reports from the Care Inspectorate and good working relationships forged and maintained
- Frequent operational and strategic meetings with Care at Home and Care Homes to help build relationships and better communication.
- We are currently undertaking service mapping through the Market Position Statement which will help to identify any potential gaps in market provision
- Working collaboratively with sector to shape commissioning and procurement processes.

#### **Primary Care**

- Monitoring of Primary Care Improvement Plan
- Renewed Primary Care Premises Plan
- Weekly report monitoring and escalation of concens/risk via GPAS
- Good relationships with GP practices, ensuring communication through agreed governance routes
- Links to Dental Practice Advisor who works with independent dentists
- Director of Dentistry and dental clinical leads across Grampian monitoring NHS access which has improved during 2024 particularly in Aberdeen City
- Dental deregistration activity appears to have reduced during 2024, and does not appear to be a significant issue in Aberdeen City at this time
- Part of the Eye Health Network and Clinical Leads for Optometry in Shire & Moray and the overall Grampian Clinical Lead
- Roles of Clinical Director and Clinical Leads, including fortnightly Grampian wide Clinical Lead Meetings, including meetings with Office Bearers from LMC and GP Sub Committee
- Peer Support
- Public Dental Services staffing capacity to flexibly increase service provision in short term

#### Gaps in assurance:

#### **Social Care**

- Difference between National Care Home Contract rate (last reviewed in 2013) and providing a 24 hour residential service
- Inability to benchmark accurately due to variation of contract management and monitoring between ACC, NHSG and ACHSCP
- Lack of placements across the City and wider North East region for people who need long term placements, including older people's care and complex care, specialist organic brain disease support and under 65 year old provision. Delays in placement result in long waits in acute.
- Not enough placements for people transitioning from young people's and children's services to adult services
- Insufficient placements for people transitioning from Learning Disabilities into Older People's Services

#### **Primary Care**

- Market or provider failure can happen quickly despite good assurances being in place. For example, even with the best monitoring system, the closure of a practice can happen very quickly, with (in some cases) one partner retiring or becoming ill being the catalyst.
- The MoU for PCIP is not funded for complete roll out
- No financial uplift in GMS income for the last 10 years
- Sustainability loans tranche 1, most were paid (not all), and tranche 2 is 'frozen'.
- Premises are limiting practices ability to merge, strengthens their reserve and create new models of working
- Market forces and individual business decisions regarding community optometry, general practice and general dental practitioners cannot be influenced by the Partnership and lack of demand information
- Primary Care Premises Plan is still in development, work here is linked to the wider ACHSP premises review and AMG

## **Current performance:**

#### Social Care

- The established Care at Home Strategic Providers Group, with agreed terms of reference is now reporting to the Social Care Pathways Board. The Group's strategic ambition is to ensure the safe and effective delivery of care at home across Aberdeen.
- We are in the process of drafting a Market Position Statement which details all Accommodation needs across Aberdeen City, this will come to JB in November 2024.

#### Comments:

#### **Social Care**

Cost of living continues to impact on the provision of the service and the staff ability to get to work due to fuel prices.

Currently working with the market to find the best option which will be reduced and will affect the unmet need/ delayed discharges and delayed transfer of care figures.



- A financial risk rating of each residential care home/setting is part of an on-going process, to give intelligence on the commercial viability and financial risks within these businesses.
- We are co-designing services with staff, managers and people with lived experience to ensure the services are fit for the future. This is being carried out in line with Ethical Commissioning Principles and Getting it Right for Everyone (GIRFE principles).
- Workshops planned on Learning Disabilities and mental health/substance use providers to co-design provision for the future
- Working with providers to develop a number of measures to support alignment of service and therefore reduce associated costs; Training Academy and Alliancing Models for example.
- Review of services which due to their environment are no longer viable and looking at alternative models and accommodation

#### **Primary Care**

- Demand still manages to outstrip capacity
- Primary Care still supplementing higher levels of acuity, especially for those on waiting lists for acute appointments
- Closed List updates available via ACHSP intranet; closed lists updates change all the time and is regularly updated, aberdeen-city-gp-practice-registration-availability.pdf

#### **Primary Care**

Lack of space for MDT working.

Sustainability report has a limited predictability due to the ever-changing nature of primary care.

GP practices are expressing an increasing challenge in meeting the needs of practice populations and therefore many are prioritising the delivery of the core GMS contract. The impact of this means that any additional non-core/statutory work is being reviewed by practices and in some instances, stopped. This varies across the City and the Partnership continues to work with Practices to find collaborative and financially sustainable solutions for both parties.

Further clarity is required around the UK Government's decision to increase Employers' National Insurance contributions and the exact implications and impact this will have on the 3rd and voluntary sector.

This main amendments made to this risk since the last time the Committee considered it are:

- 1. Details on controls, mitigating actions, assurances and gaps in assurance added to both the social care and primary care commissioning risks.
- 2. Updates on the Social Care element to reflect the Care at Home Strategic Providers Group now reporting to the Social Care Pathways Board and the potential impact of an increase in Employers' National Insurance contributions.
- 3.In relation to the Primary Care risk, additional controls and mitigating actions have been added, along with the assurance and gaps in assurance being updated too.



|  | -2-   |                |                         |                 |         |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Description of                                     | of Risk: Cause  | -IJB financial | failure and pro         | jection of over | spend   |  |  |  |  |
| Event-Demar  | Event-Demand outstrips available budget   |                |                         |                 |         |  |  |  |  |
| Consequenc   | Consequence-IJB can't deliver on its strategic plan priorities, or deliver quality care, or statutory work, and projects. |                |                         |                 |         |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Aims                                     |   |                |                         |                 |         | Leadership Team Owner: Chief Finance Officer   |  |  |  |
| Strategic Enal                                     | olers: Finance  |                |                         |                 |         |  |  |  |  |
| Risk Rating:                                       | ow/medium/high/   | very high      |                         |                 |         | Rationale for Risk Rating:   |  |  |  |
|  |   | н              | IGH                     |                 |         | Impact:  |  |  |  |
| IMPACT  Almost Certain  Likely  Possible  Unlikely |   |                |                         | ✓               |         | <ul> <li>If the partnership does not have sufficient funding to cover all expenditure, then in order to achieve a sustainable balanced financial position, decisions will be required to be taken which may include reducing/stopping services (major impact).</li> <li>If the levels of funding identified in the Medium Term Financial Framework (last reported March 2024) are not made available to the IJB in future years or fails to adequately meet demand on current levels of service standards, then tough choices would need to be made about what the IJB wants to deliver. It will be extremely difficult for the IJB to continue to generate the level of savings year on year to balance its budget.</li> <li>The ACHSCP Leadership Team (including our senior and operational managers) have worked extremely hard over recent months to identify savings to address the previously indicated £20 million budget gap. The results of this work has identified £17million of savings to be progressed in-year, which has reduced the potential overspend.</li> <li>Likelihood</li> <li>The quarter 1 monitoring report confirmed that the savings are having the intended impact at time of</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Rare   |   |                |                         |                 |         | <ul> <li>publication. Coupled with ongoing work to identify further savings, this has reduced the likelihood of this risk being realised.</li> <li>However, the likelihood of projection of overspend will continue to be high due to projected increasing demand against flat cash settlements and whether the level of funding delegated from the Council</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| LIKELIHOOD   | Negligible  | Minor          | Moderate                | Major           | Extreme | and NHS is sufficient to sustain future service delivery.  |  |  |  |
| Risk Movemen                                       | nt: increase/dec  | •              | :<br>:<br>GE 20.11.2024 |                 |         | <ul> <li>There is also a risk of additional funding being ring-fenced for specific priorities and policies, which means introducing new projects and initiatives at a time when financial pressure is being faced on mainstream budgets.</li> <li>There is increasing likelihood that following review, in year central government funding will not be forthcoming as central government seeks to reduce or stop previously funded activity to address financial challenges.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| Controls:  |   |                |                         |                 |         | Rationale for Risk Appetite: The IJB has a low-moderate risk appetite to financial loss and understands its requirement to achieve a balanced budget. The IJB recognises the impacts of failing to achieve a balanced budget on Aberdeen City Council & its bond — an unmanaged overspend may have an impact on funding levels. However, the IJB also recognises the significant range of statutory services it is required to meet within that finite budget and has a lower appetite for risk of harm to people (low or minimal).  Mitigating Actions:   |  |  |  |



# Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership

# A caring partnership

- Financial information is reported regularly to the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee, the Integration Joint Board and the Senior Leadership Team
- Risk, Audit & Performance receives regular updates on transformation programme & spend.
- Approved reserves strategy, including risk fund
- Robust financial monitoring and budget setting procedures including regular budget monitoring & budget meeting with budget holders.
- Budgets delegated to cost centre level and being managed by budget holders.
- Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFF) which was approved by the IJB in March 2024.
- SLT have a revised vacancy management process that has been operating since end of November, 2023, which prioritises vacancy approval to help support a balanced budget position in 2023/24, and this is continuing in 2024/25.
- NHSG and ACC vacancy control processes
- Budget Protocol approved by IJB in September 2024
- Review of Year 3 of the ACHSCP Delivery Plan to new projects that will help generate additional savings and to deprioritise some activity.
- Additional financial monitoring to IJB and RAP Committee every cycle, including reporting on the agreed Recovery Action Plan (as agreed at IJB on 19<sup>th</sup> November 2024)

- The Senior Leadership Team are committed to delivering efficiencies, encouraging self-management and moving forward the prevention agenda to help manage future demand for services.
- The Senior Leadership Team have formalised arrangements to receive monthly financial monitoring statements in respect of NHSG services and quarterly in respect of Council services.
- Ongoing engagement with SLT and Operational Leadership Team (OLT) to develop and implement budget savings options and Integrated Impact Assessments (IIA).
- Increased capacity and adopting programme and change management approach for additional scrutiny and to support budget setting process, which includes extensive public consultation and engagement.
- Development and delivery of a recovery programme for financial year 2024/25 due to the recurring overspend in 2023/24. SLT are identifying by means of regular meetings, potential savings to reduce the likelihood / extent of an overspend occurring in 2024/25.
- Review of budget setting process for 25/26 to develop a Budget Protocol and Critical Pathway for the Integration Joint Board, aligning with Aberdeen City Council's budget setting process, which was agreed by the JB in September 2024.
- Implementation of recommendations from the internal audit report in respect of budget monitoring.
- Progress is now underway to identify further savings to address the budget gap for 25/26 predicted in the MTFF and any shortfall in savings in 24/25. To be agreed by IJB in March 2025 alongside the MTFF
- Agreement and implementation of in year Recovery Action Plan (as agreed by IJB on 19<sup>th</sup> November 2024).

#### Assurances:

- IJB and the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee oversight and scrutiny of budget under the Chief Finance Officer.
- Board Assurance and Escalation Framework.
- Quarterly budget monitoring reports.
- Regular budget monitoring meetings between finance and budget holders.
- Monthly financial monitoring to SLT
- Internal Audit on IJB Budget Setting and Monitoring

#### Gaps in assurance:

- The financial environment is challenging and requires regular monitoring. The scale of the challenge to make the JB financially sustainable should not be underestimated.
- There is a gap in terms of the impact of transformation on our budgets. Many of the benefits of our projects relate to early intervention and reducing hospital admissions, neither of which provide cashable savings
- Uncertainty of level of funding for Agenda for Change review of Band 5 nursing jobs
- Resignation of the Chief Finance Officer (CFO) and capacity gap whilst undergoing a recruitment and selection process. As a result of this, the CFO of NHSG Grampian (will be) appointed the interim CFO at the November IJB.
- Review of financial regulations and reserves policy required by March 25 alongside MTFF approval

#### Current performance:

- The quarterly monitoring report for Quarter 1, demonstrates progress made since the last strategic risk register report was last reviewed by the SLT.
- Cost of Agenda for Change review of Band 5 nursing jobs requires to be calculated. Risk that if this is not fully funded then will impact on IJB's budget.
- In-year allocations from Scottish Government are less than anticipated in the MTFF

#### Comments:

- The financial position in future years will be challenging. Discussions are continuing with ACC and NHSG regarding level of funding for future years, keeping in mind that both organisations face the same budgetary pressures felt across the wider public sector. The budget protocol will ensure robust communication and engagement with these key stakeholders.
- The current financial pressures have the potential to impact on our ability to deliver on our strategic plan priorities and projects and the level of transformation and service change originally agreed. A consequence of this would be reduced patient flow and poorer outcomes for people if the best destination for their care is not available.
- This cycle's updates include details on Quarter 2 outturn results and updates to various text where documents and plans have been approved by the JB and its wider governance structure.



- 3 -Description of Risk: Cause: Under Integration arrangements, Aberdeen IJB hosts services on behalf of Moray and Aberdeenshire, and who also hosts services on behalf of Aberdeen City. **Event:** hosted services do not deliver the expected outcomes, fail to deliver transformation of services, or face service failure. **Consequence**: Failure to meet health outcomes for Aberdeen City, resources not being maximised and reputational damage. Strategic Aims: All Leadership Team Owner: Chief Officer Strategic Enablers: Relationships Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high Rationale for Risk Rating: **HIGH** Considered high risk due to the projected overspend in hosted services Hosted services are a risk of the set-up of Integration Joint Boards. **IMPACT Rationale for Risk Appetite:** Almost • The IJB has some tolerance of risk in relation to testing change. Certain Likely **Possible** Unlikely Rare LIKELIHOOD Negligible Minor Moderate Major Extreme Risk Movement: (increase/decrease/no change) **NO CHANGE 20.11.2024** Controls: Mitigating Actions: Aberdeen City HSCP will review the rationale for services it hosts to ensure hosting remains the Integration scheme agreement on cross-reporting most relevant and appropriate approach-December 2024 North East Partnership Steering Group Aberdeen City HSCP has gained approval from the three Grampian HSCP Chief Officers that there Aberdeen City Strategic Planning Group (ACSPG) North East System Wide Transformation Group is an appetite for a pan Grampian review of overall hosting arrangements and rationale and will liaise with regional partners to develop a scope and timeline for this, including presentation of **IJB Hosted Services Internal Audit** proposals to each IJB-December 2024 • Aberdeen City HSCP are working with Aberdeenshire and Moray HSCPs to ensure the services it hosts on their behalf align with their Strategic Plans and, at the same time, seek to understand the current strategy for each of the services hosted by them on behalf of Aberdeen City JB to confirm alignment to the Aberdeen City Strategic Plan.-December 2024 Aberdeen City HSCP are working with Aberdeenshire and Moray HSCPs to develop and agree proportionate, risk based governance arrangements.-December 2024 Aberdeen City HSCP will work with Aberdeenshire and Moray HSCPs to implement the agreed governance arrangements-September 2025 • Aberdeen City HSCP should seek additional assurance over budgeting and expenditure on hosted services (both hosting and hosted on its behalf), and report on this periodically to the JB,-September 2024



|  | <ul> <li>This action is linked to the one above in relation to overall governance arrangements: As part of the development of the governance arrangements, Aberdeen City HSCP, in conjunction with relevant colleagues in Aberdeenshire and Moray HSCPs, will develop relevant performance metrics and agree reporting routes and frequency. It is envisaged that the governance arrangements will include routes for agreement of transformation activity and any performance reporting will capture the progress on delivery and impact of this-March 2025</li> <li>Once agreed, Aberdeen City HSCP, in conjunction with relevant colleagues in Aberdeenshire and Moray HSCPs, will implement the governance arrangements-September 2025</li> </ul> |
|--|---|
| Assurances:  | Gaps in assurance:  |
| <ul> <li>These largely come from the systems, process and procedures put in place by NHS Grampian, which are still being operated, along with any new processes which are put in place by the lead IJB.</li> <li>North East System Wide Transformation Group (Officers only) led by the 4 pan-Grampian chief executives. The aim of the group is to develop real top-level leadership to drive forward the change agenda, especially relating to the delegated hospital-based services.</li> <li>Both the CEO group and the Chairs &amp; Vice Chairs group meet quarterly. The meetings are evenly staggered between groups, giving some six weeks between them, allowing progressive work / iterative work to be timely between the forums.</li> <li>The Portfolio approach and wider system approach demonstrates closer joint working across the 3 Health and Social Care Partnerships and the Acute Sector.</li> </ul> |   |
| Current performance:   | Comments:   |
| <ul> <li>Officers are currently implementing the recommendations from the Audit on Hosted Services.</li> <li>Standardised service templates have been implemented and sent to all hosted services and information is being gathered in preparation to presenting proposals to the Chief Officers, IJB's and NHS Grampian.</li> </ul>   | Review of budget has highlighted that this work is crucial to maintain transparent accountability of service delivery and use of resources. The Lead for Strategy and Transformation will raise this with Grampian Planner colleagues to align to 2024/25 budget setting.  The recommendations in the Audit will be implemented as per the agreed timescales, successful delivery will be reliant on collaboration with Aberdeenshire and Moray Health and Social Care Partnerships   |
|  | Update this cycle is in relation to the gathering of information through the service templates ( as per the audit recommendations).   |

#### - 4 -**Description of Risk:** Cause: Performance standards/outcomes are set by national and regulatory bodies and those locally-determined performance standards are set by the board itself. **Event**: There is a risk that the JB, and the services that it directs and has operational oversight of, fails to meet the national, regulatory and local standards. **Consequence**: This may result in harm or risk of harm to people. Strategic Aims: All **Leadership Team Owner:** Strategy and Transformation Lead Strategic Enablers: Technology Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high Rationale for Risk Rating: Service delivery is broad ranging and undertaken by both in-house and external providers. There are a variety of performance standards set both by national and regulatory bodies as well **HIGH** as those determined locally and there are a range of factors which may impact on service performance against these. Poor performance will in turn impact both on the outcomes for service users and on the **IMPACT** reputation of the JB/partnership. Given current situation with increased demand and staffing pressures there might be times that the likelihood of services not meeting standards is possible. Almost Certain Likely Rationale for Risk Appetite: The JB has no to minimal tolerance of harm happening to people as a result of its actions, recognising that in some cases there may be a balance between the risk of doing nothing and the risk of action or intervention. Possible Unlikely Rare LIKELIHOOD Negligible Minor Moderate Major **Extreme** Risk Movement: (increase/decrease/no change) **NO CHANGE 20.11.2024** Controls: Mitigating Actions: Clinical and Care Governance Committee and Group • Continual review of key performance indicators Risk, Audit and Performance Committee Review of and where and how often performance information is reported and how learning is fed Data and Evaluation Group back into processes and procedures. • On-going work developing a culture of performance management and evaluation throughout the Performance Framework Linkage with ACC and NHSG performance reporting partnership Refinement of Performance Dashboard, presented to a number of groups, raising profile of Annual Performance Report performance and encouraging discussion leading to further review and development Chief Social Work Officer's Report Recruitment of additional resource to drive performance management process development Ministerial Steering Group (MSG) Scrutiny Risk-assessed plans with actions, responsible owners, timescales and performance measures External and Internal Audit Reports monitored by dedicated teams Links to outcomes of Inspections. Complaints etc. Restructure of Strategy and Transformation Team which includes an increase in the number of Contract Management Framework Programme and Project Managers will help mitigate the risk of services not meeting required Weekly Senior Leadership Team Meetings standards.



| <ul> <li>Daily Operational Leadership Team Huddles</li> <li>Urgent and Unscheduled Care Programme Board</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Use of Grampian Operational Pressure Escalation System (G-OPES) and Daily and Weekly System Connect Meetings help to mitigate the risk of services not meeting standards through system wide support.</li> <li>Four focus areas of the system wide critical response to ongoing system pressures</li> <li>All recommendations from the Internal Audit report on Performance Management have been implemented.</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Assurances:   | Gaps in assurance:  |
| <ul> <li>Joint meeting of IJB Chief Officer with two Partner Body Chief Executives.</li> <li>Performance Dashboard reported quarterly to Risk, Audit &amp; Performance Committee.</li> </ul>  | SLT Governance Dashboard still in development.  |
| <ul> <li>Bespoke report developed for Clinical and Care Governance Committee and considered at</li> </ul>   |   |
| every meeting.  |   |
| Annual report on IJB activity developed and reported to ACC and NHSG  |   |
| Care Inspectorate Inspection reports considered by services with action plans developed   |   |
| and monitored   |   |
| Capture of outcomes from contract review meetings.  |   |
| External reviews of performance.  |   |
| Benchmarking with other IJBs  New year life and a second to the sec |   |
| <ul> <li>Now working more closely with NHSS Local Intelligence System Team (LIST), drawing on<br/>their expertise to ensure comprehensive and robust performance reporting (eg locality based</li> </ul>  |   |
| data, enhanced population needs assessment)   |   |
| Current performance:  | Comments:   |
| Performance reports submitted to IJB, Risk, Audit and Performance and Clinical and Care   |   |
| Governance Committees.  | Update this cycle are in relation to the details on the Annual Performance Report.  |
| <ul> <li>Various Steering Groups for strategy implementation established.</li> </ul>  |   |
| Close links with social care commissioning, procurement and contracts team have been  |   |
| <ul> <li>established via the Strategic Commissioning and Procurement Board</li> <li>JB Dashboard has been shared widely.</li> </ul>   |   |
| <ul> <li>JB Dashboard has been shared widely.</li> <li>SLT workshops held to develop a Partnership dashboard</li> </ul>   |   |
| <ul> <li>SLT Workshops field to develop at a life ship dashboard</li> <li>SLT Governance Dashboard is under development.</li> </ul>   |   |
| <ul> <li>Annual Performance Report for 2023/24 was approved by the IJB on the 24<sup>th</sup> of September,</li> </ul>  |   |
| 2024 and is published (as required by statute) on the ACHSCP website.   |   |
| There are plans to promote the Annual Performance Report through various means (social)   |   |
| media, the Press and at the ACHSCP Conference).   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |

|  |   |   |                      |   |                            | -3-   |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Description o  | of Risk:  |   |                      |   |                            |   |
| Cause: Demo  | graphic & finan   | cial pressures  | requiring IJB to     | deliver transf                                  | ormational system          | change which helps to meet its strategic priorities.  |
| Event: Failure   | to deliver trans  | sformation and  | I sustainable sys    | stems change                                    |                            |   |
|  |   |   | st health and so     | · ·   |                            |   |
| Consequence.   | people not ret  | cerving the bes   | st nealth and so     | Jiai Care Oulce                                 | JIII65                     |   |
| •  | olers: Technolog  | •   | cture                |   |                            | Leadership Team Owner: Strategy and Transformation Lead   |
| Risk Rating: lo  | ow/medium/high  | , 0   | HIGH                 |   |                            | Rationale for Risk Rating:  |
| IMPACT   |   |   |                      |   |                            | <ul> <li>Recognition of the known demographic curve &amp; financial challenges, including cost of living, which mean existing capacity may struggle</li> <li>This is the overall risk – each of our transformation programme work streams are also risk assessed</li> </ul>   |
| Almost<br>Certain  |   |   |                      |   |                            | <ul> <li>with some programmes being a higher risk than others.</li> <li>Given current situation with increased demand and staffing pressures there might be times when it is likely that transformational projects delivery may be delayed.</li> </ul>  |
| Likely   |   |   |                      |   |                            | <ul> <li>System Wide demand on Information Governance Services for data sharing agreements</li> <li>Rationale for Risk Appetite:</li> </ul>   |
| Possible   |   |   |                      | ✓   |                            | <ul> <li>The JB has some appetite for risk relating to testing change and being innovative.</li> <li>The JB has no to minimal appetite for harm happening to people – however this is balanced with a reasonition of the risk of harm happening to people in the future if no action or transformation is taken.</li> </ul>   |
| Unlikely   |   |   |                      |   |                            | recognition of the risk of harm happening to people in the future if no action or transformation is taken.  |
| Rare   |   |   |                      |   |                            |   |
| LIKELIHOOD   | Negligible  | Minor   | Moderate             | Major   | Extreme                    |   |
| Risk Movemer   | nt: (increase/de  |   | ge)<br>GE 20.11.2024 |   |                            |   |
| Controls:  |   |   |                      |   |                            | Mitigating Actions:   |
| Daily Hu     Quarterl     Annual l     External     Program     All Prog | uddles and IJB a<br>by Reporting of Derformance Re<br>and Internal Au<br>ame manageme<br>ramme and Proj | and its Committed<br>Delivery Plan pro<br>eport<br>Idit<br>Intapproach bei<br>ject Managers h |                      | udit & Performa whole of the Pa in the appropri | artnership<br>ate level of | <ul> <li>Regular reporting of progress on programmes and projects to Senior Leadership Team</li> <li>Increased frequency of governance processes, Senior Leadership Team now meeting weekly</li> <li>A number of plans and frameworks have been developed to underpin our transformation activity across our wider system including: Primary Care Improvement Plan and Action 15 Plan.</li> <li>The Lead for Strategy and Transformation is continuing to explore options around gaps in recruitment.</li> <li>Close working with Aberdeen City Health Determinants Research Collaborative (HDRC) to evaluate the impact of ACHSCP Strategic Plan.</li> </ul> |
| Assurances:  |   |   |                      |   |                            | Gaps in assurance:  |



# Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring pastnership

- Risk, Audit and Performance Committee Reporting
- Robust Programme Management approach supported by an evaluation framework
- **JB** oversight
- Board Assurance and Escalation Framework process
- Internal Audit has undertaken a detailed audit of our transformation programme. All recommendations from this audit have now been actioned.
- The Medium-Term Financial Framework prioritises transformation activity that could deliver cashable savings
- The Medium-Term Financial Framework, Portfolio Management Approach aims and principles, and Programme of Transformation have been mapped to demonstrate overall alignment to strategic plan.
- Our ability to evidence the impact of our transformation: documenting results from evaluations and reviewing results from evaluations conducted elsewhere allows us to determine what works when seeking to embed new models.
- The current financial climate has brought uncertainty to the establishment of the Strategy and Transformation Team going forward and this will be reviewed in light of the resource requirements arising from the refreshed Strategic Plan.

#### **Current performance:**

- The current Strategic/Delivery Plan has been approved and Strategy and Transformation resource has been allocated to deliver on the projects within the Plan.
- Work is ongoing to refresh the Strategic Plan for April 2025 onwards (to be considered by JB in March 2025)

#### Comments:

The current financial pressures have the potential to impact on our ability to deliver on our strategic plan priorities and projects and the level of transformation and service change originally agreed. A consequence of this would be reduced patient flow and poorer outcomes for people if the best destination for their care is not available.

Updates this cycle include details on the refresh of the Strategic Plan and the resource requirements arising

|  |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | <b>- 6 -</b>  |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Description of   | of Risk          |                  |                       |                |                 |   |
| Cause: Need  | to involve liv   | ed experience    | in service del        | livery and des | ign as per Inte | gration Principles  |
| Event: IJB fa  | ils to maximis   | se the opportu   | unities created       | for engaging   | with our comn   | nunities  |
| Consequenc   | es: Services a   | are not tailored | d to individual       | needs; reputa  | ational damage  | e; and IJB does not meet strategic aims.  |
| Strategic Aims: All Strategic Enablers: Relationships  |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | Leadership Owner: Chief Officer   |
| Risk Rating:   | low/medium/high  |                  | DUIM                  |                |                 | Pationale for Pick Patings  |
|  |                  | IVIE             | DIUM                  |                |                 | <ul> <li>Rationale for Risk Rating:</li> <li>Now that localities governance and working arrangements are established the impact of not maximising</li> </ul>  |
| IMPACT   |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | the opportunities is moderate but at the moment, in the early stages of the arrangements, the likelihood remains a possibility.   |
| Almost   |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | <ul> <li>Cost of living and digital exclusion are potential barriers for community engagement</li> </ul>  |
| Certain<br>Likely  |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | Rationale for Risk Appetite:  |
| Likely   |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | The IJB has some appetite to risk in relation to testing innovation and change. There is zero risk of financial failure or working out with statutory requirements of a public body.  |
| Possible   |                  |                  | ✓                     |                |                 |   |
| Unlikely   |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | -   |
| Rare   |                  |                  |                       |                |                 |   |
|  |                  |                  |                       |                |                 |   |
| LIKELIHOOD   | Negligible       | Minor            | Moderate              | Major          | Extreme         |   |
| Risk Moveme  | nt: (increase/de | ecrease/no chan  | nge)<br>SE 20.11.2024 |                |                 |   |
|  |                  | NO OTIAITO       | ,E 20:11:2024         |                |                 |   |
| <ul> <li>Controls:</li> <li>Locality Empowerment Groups (LEGs)</li> <li>Senior Leadership Team Meetings and Operational Leadership Huddles</li> <li>CPP Community Engagement Group</li> <li>Equalities and Human Rights Sub-Group</li> <li>A Patient Stakeholder Group has been established around the Primary Care Visioning Exercise</li> <li>Reporting of Locality Plans Annual performance Reports to the IJB</li> </ul> |                  |                  |                       |                |                 | <ul> <li>Mitigating Actions:</li> <li>Strategic Planning Group (SPG) Pre-Meeting Group set up to support locality empowerment group members on the SPG.</li> <li>Continued joint working with Community Planning colleagues to oversee the ongoing development of locality planning</li> <li>An engagement plan has been developed to ensure that a co-production approach is being used for the Visioning Exercise, and patients from across the Grampian area are involved in the development of the vision and strategic objectives.</li> <li>Work is continuing to engage with diverse groups across the City, including engagement with schools.</li> <li>Continue to engage with the governance and monitoring on the delivery of the LOIP improvement projects and Locality Plans</li> </ul> |
| Assurances:  • Strateg   | ic Planning Gro  | up (LEGs have r  | representation on     | this group)    |                 | <ul> <li>Gaps in assurance</li> <li>Membership of the Locality Empowerment Groups has been increasing, and we have encouraged more</li> </ul>   |
| •  | •                | formance Comm    | •                     | .,             |                 | younger people to join, but we still need to look at attracting representation from other diverse groups.   |



- CPA Board
- CPA Management Group

They are meeting regularly again. We are working with relevant groups to understand the best way to engage and recognise that one approach does not suit all.

• 3 service user representatives have been recruited to the IJB and we are actively recruiting the 2<sup>nd</sup> of the 2 carer representatives.

#### **Current performance:**

- LEGs representatives attend the SPG on a regular basis and participate in the meetings.
- Locality Plans have been streamlined and revised along-side the revision of the Local Outcome Improvement Plan (LOIP), this now includes a dedicated stretch outcome to Community Empowerment.
- Locality Plans have been streamlined and were approved by the CPA Board in April 2024 for approval.
- Community Planning Aberdeen (CPA) has refreshed the LOIP and the 3 Locality Plans.
   All LOIP projects are linked directly to the ACHSCP Strategic Plan.

#### Comments:

Updated details on the recruitment of Service representatives to the JB.

- 7 -

Description of Risk: Cause-The ongoing recruitment and retention of staff

Event: Insufficient staff to provide patients/clients with services required.

Consequence: Potential loss of life and unmet health and social care needs, leading to severe reputational damage.

Strategic Aims: All Leadership Team Owner: People & Organisation Lead

Strategic Enablers: Workforce

Risk Rating: low/medium/high/very high

HIGH

| IMPACT         |            |       |          |       |         |
|----------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|
| Almost Certain |            |       |          |       |         |
| Likely         |            |       |          | ✓     |         |
| Possible       |            |       |          |       |         |
| Unlikely       |            |       |          |       |         |
| Rare           |            |       |          |       |         |
| LIKELIHOOD -   | Negligible | Minor | Moderate | Major | Extreme |

Risk Movement: (increase/decrease/no change)

**NO CHANGE 20.11.2024** 

#### Controls:

- Clinical & Care Governance Committee reviews tactical level of risk around staffing numbers
- Clinical & Care Governance Group review the operational level of risk
- Oversight of daily Operational Leadership Team meetings to maximise the use of daily staffing availability
- Revised contract monitoring arrangements with providers to determine recruitment / retention trends in the wider care sector-replicate wording in risk 1 and include pc risk
- Establishment of daily staffing situational reports (considered by the Leadership Team)

### **Rationale for Risk Rating:**

- The current staffing complement profile changes on an incremental basis over time.
- However the proportion of over 50s employed within the partnership (by NHSG and ACC) is increasing rapidly (i.e. 1 in 3 nurses are over 50).
- Totally exhausted work force with higher turnover of staff (particularly over 50)
- Current very high vacancy levels increased by very tight Aberdeen and NHSG processes in recruitment across ACHSCP services.
- Economic upturn in North East, which means there is direct competition with non-clinical posts and negatively impacting on the calibre of candidates for a number of posts, there are national Scottish shortages in all of the professions within the Partnership and we are competing with the Central Belt for people's choice for employment.
- Post Covid 19 landscape, where many staff have reflected on their personal situation, which has led
  to increased numbers of early retirement applications, requests for reduced hours and staff leaving
  the service
- It is likely to be a very challenging winter in 2024/25.

#### Rationale for Risk Appetite:

Will accept minimal risks of harm to service users or to staff. By minimal risks, the IJB means it will
only accept minimal risk to services users or staff when the comparative risk of doing nothing is higher
than the risk of intervention.



# Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring partnership

- NHSG and ACC workforce policies and planning groups
- Daily Grampian System Connect Meetings and governance structure
- Daily sitreps from all services (includes staffing absences)
- ACHSCP Workforce Plan Oversight Group has met twice. There are 3 workstream groups established under the Plan.
- The Partnership's Workforce Plan Annual Report was submitted to the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee on 28th November, 2023. The report received positive feedback from the Members of the Committee.
- ACHSCP internal vacancy control process
- NHSG and ACC vacancy control processes

#### Assurances:

ACHSCP Workforce Plan and Oversight Group

Agreed governance arrangements

Formal performance reporting against the Strategic/Delivery Plan has continued to be developed in consultation with the SLT.

Staff side and union representation on daily Operational Leadership Team meetings SLT Delivery Plan

Working collaboratively with NHSG to participate in their Year of The Manager Programme (helping develop our current, middle and future senior managers).

## Mitigating Actions:

- Significantly increased emphasis on health/wellbeing of staff and positive feedback regularly received, over 400 staff attended these type of initiatives, so far in 2024.
- All staff strongly encouraged to use their annual leave throughout the year, take regular breaks and this to be positively modelled by SLT (given vacancy challenges outlined above in risk rationale)
- establishment of ACHSCP recruitment programme, with significantly increased Social Media
- promotion and support of the 'We Care' and 'Grow of own' approaches
- embrace the use of new/improved digital technologies to develop and support the ACHSCP infrastructure & develop a road map with a focus on enablement for staff. Working with Microsoft to increase online appointment bookings and significantly reduce pressure on staff, as well as looking at resolving current IT issues regarding different systems.
- flexible/hybrid working options to become 'normal' working practice that benefit staff time & supports their wellbeing as well as helps staff retention
- Increased emphasis on communication with staff
- increased collaboration across the Senior Leadership Team (SLT) and integration between professional disciplines, third sector, independent sector and communities through Localities to help diversity of the workforce
- Increased monitoring of staff statistics (sickness, turnover, CPD, complaints etc) through Senior Leadership Team Governance Dashboard and daily Operational Leadership Team meetings
- Awareness of new Scottish Government, NHSG and ACC workforce policies and guidelines
- Staff Wellbeing budget in 2024/25 of £25,000
- Well established "Comms Trustees Group" which helps to positively promote the work of ACHSCP and its staff, including the promotion of targeted vacancies. The Group now has a rota of social media promotion and is able to review in real time, activity generated by social media posts.
- Partnership Jobs Fair-Date to be fixed for 2024
- Holding regular job showcase sessions with clients seeking work in Aberdeen City.
- Ongoing support from the Partnership to continue the mentoring of Career Ready students in 2025.
- Foundation Apprentice scheme continuing in 2024/25 after positive feedback.
- Currently working with 3 City and 1 Aberdeenshire Academies around a variety of different subjects to match school curriculum with future workforce opportunities.
- Partnership Staff Conference will be held in December 2024.
- Workforce Workstream Workshop held on 25th of April, 2024. Workshop reviewed progress on the Workforce Plan and looked at integrating different workforce activity eg immunisation and CTAC staff moving between services during guieter periods. A second Workshop is planned to be held in 2024 (date to be confirmed).



| <ul> <li>Current performance:</li> <li>Managing workforce challenges through daily Operational Leadership Team meetings and Daily Connect Meetings and structures</li> <li>Promotion of the benefits of breaks, including the new NHSG campaign "You're at best with rest"</li> <li>ACHSCP strongly supported the Grampian Wellbeing Festival (including SLT attendance and promotion of activities).</li> <li>The reduction in the working week (NHS) means in real terms that the capacity of nursing staff across the Partnership will be reduced by 40 wte</li> <li>Partnership staff sickness stats (NHSG employees) were 4.83% at the end of September, compared to NHSG average of 4.98%.</li> </ul> | have been trained in early May, 2024. SLT considered the draft dashboard in July 2024, with additional  |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Partnership staff sickness stats (ACC employees) were 4.48 days (average number of total working days lost due to sickness) compared to ACC average of 4.86 days.</li> </ul>   |   |
|   | Comments:   |
|   | <ul> <li>Ongoing consultation on National Care Service. Any updates arising from the progress of the Service that has a bearing on the risk will be updated in due course.</li> <li>Workforce is an enduring risk across Scotland.</li> <li>Ongoing scrutiny of budget position may well have a detrimental affect on staff wellbeing eg potential need for organisational change</li> <li>Vacancy controls are increasing pressure on staff wellbeing</li> </ul> |
|   | Updates this cycle include updated information on staff absenteeism figures.  |

## -8-

**Description of Risk:** there is a risk that buildings across the city, operated by, or overseen by, the JJB/ACHSCP are not being used to maximum efficiency and are not in line with statutory/regulatory requirements.

Cause: Lack of funding to maintain buildings, not having adequate staff resource to operate from buildings, failing to adequately plan which buildings ACHSCP need and where, and failure to collaborate with partners on wider asset planning.

Event: JB is unable to deliver on all of its strategic objectives

Consequence: services not tailored to users' needs and reputational damage to organisation

Strategic Aims: All Leadership Team Owner: Lead for Strategy and Transformation



# Aberdeen City Health & Social Care Partnership A caring partnership

|  | ablers: relationsh<br>low/medium/high   |   |                                |              |         |  |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|--|
| LIKELIHOOE   | Ĭ   | rvery mgn   | HIGH                           |              |         | <ul> <li>Rationale for Risk Rating:</li> <li>The risk is high as the impact is moderate to service users' experience as well as to the organisation reputation.</li> <li>The landscape is complex as the IJB does not own any premises. Services are delivered from</li> </ul>   |
| Almost Certain Likely Possible Unlikely Rare IMPACT  | Negligible ent: (increase/de  |   | Moderate  ange) ISK 20.11.2024 | Major        | Extreme | variety of premises owned either by NHS Grampian or Aberdeen City Council, providers or privariandlords.  • Given the backlog of maintenance request and the fabric of some of the buildings not being fit for purpose (Forensic services are required by statute, clinical services require adequate ventilation older buildings are less energy efficient etc) there is a likelihood that this risk will happen. NHS allocated funding in 2024/25 to undertake a programme of works to support reduction of high risk noting this will likely be a rolling programme requiring further investment  Rationale for Risk Appetite:  • It will accept no or low risk in relation to breaches of regulatory and statutory compliance  • it will accept low risks of harm to patients/clients or to staff. By low risks, the IJB means it will on accept low risk to patients/clients or staff when the comparative risk of doing nothing is higher that the risk of intervention  • It will accept medium to high risks to reputation where the decision being proposed has significant benefits for the organisation's strategic priorities. Such decisions will be explained clearly and transparently to the public. |
| Controls:  |   |   |                                |              |         | Mitigating Actions:  |
| <ul> <li>Aberde</li> <li>ACHS</li> <li>IJB an</li> <li>Comp</li> <li>ACHS</li> <li>ACHS</li> <li>ACHS</li> <li>ACHS</li> <li>Senior</li> </ul> | 's Asset Manager<br>een City Council's<br>CP's Strategic Pl<br>d Committees<br>lex Care Market F<br>CP's Primary Car<br>CP Premises Gro<br>CP Primary Care<br>CP Business Sup<br>Leadership Tear<br>sic Service Infrast | S Local Develo<br>an<br>Position Statem<br>re Premises Pl<br>oup<br>Team<br>oport Team<br>m (SLT) | pment Plan                     | Project Team |         | <ul> <li>ACHSCP Premises Review will be reported to SLT on 20<sup>th</sup> of November, 2024 (work will be ongoin over next 2-3 years-with specific mitigating actions being added when dates are known)</li> <li>Development of the JB's Infrastructure Plan by December 2025. The plan will identify and forecast the areas of pressure and demand across the City and how ACHSCP intend to deliver services respond to that demand.</li> <li>Development of a Market Position Statement for Independent Living and Specialist Housing Provision by November 2024.</li> <li>Scottish Government Whole System Infrastructure approach being adopted by Health Boards (by Jan 2025 NHSG require to have a maintenance schedule in place for all buildings and by Jan 2020 they require to have a 30 year plan in place for all of their Infrastructure).</li> <li>A review of Rosewell House is under way with findings anticipated by December 2024.</li> <li>A review of Aberdeen Health Village will start in early 2025</li> <li>Premises Review Update paper to be submitted to IJB in February 2025</li> </ul>   |
| Assurances:  |   |   |                                |              |         |  |



#### **Current performance:**

• The Market Position Statement is on schedule to be considered by the JB in November, 2024.

#### Comments:

• The refreshed Strategic Plan will outline closer links with our partners to maximise the use of premises across the City.

Potential for staff to relocate to other buildings will impact on their wellbeing. No major updates this cycle as mitigating actions are planned for future dates.



## Appendix 1 - Risk Tolerance

| Level of Risk | Risk Tolerance  |
|---------------|---|
|               | Acceptable level of risk. No additional controls are required but any existing risk controls or contingency plans should be documented.   |
| Low           | Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.   |
|               | Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures by Managers/Risk Owners. Where appropriate further action shall be taken to reduce the risk but the cost of control will probably be modest. Managers/Risk Owners shall document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective.   |
| Medium        | Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.   |
|               | Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective.   |
|               | Further action should be taken to mitigate/reduce/control the risk, possibly urgently and possibly requiring significant resources. Chief Officers/Managers/Risk Owners must document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective. |
| High          | Relevant Chief Officers/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective and confirm that it is not reasonably practicable to do more. The IJB's may wish to seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.   |
|               | However the IJB's may wish to accept high risks that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public   |
|               | Unacceptable level of risk exposure that requires urgent and potentially immediate corrective action to be taken. Relevant Chief Officer/Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees should be informed explicitly by the relevant Managers/Risk Owners.  |
| Very High     | Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  |
| very riigii   | The IJB's will seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.   |
|               | However the IJB's may wish to accept opportunities that have an inherent very high risk that may result in reputation damage, financial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrity, significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public   |



### **Appendix 2 – Risk Assessment Matrices (from Board Assurance & Escalation Framework)**

#### Table 1 - Impact/Consequence Defintions

| Descriptor   | Negligible   | Minor  | Moderate  | Major  | Extreme   |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Patient<br>Experience  | Reduced quality of patient experience/ clinical outcome not directly related to delivery of clinical care.                     | Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome directly related to care provision – readily resolvable.    | Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome, short term effects – expect recovery <1wk.                                  | Unsatisfactory patient experience/ clinical outcome; long term effects –expect recovery >1wk.                                      | Unsatisfactory patient experience/clinical outcome, continued ongoing long term effects.  |
| Objectives/<br>Project   | Barely noticeable reduction in scope, quality or schedule.   | Minor reduction in scope, quality or schedule.   | Reduction in scope or quality of project; project objectives or schedale.   | Significnt project over-run.   | Inability to meet project objectives; reputation of the organisation seriously damaged.   |
| Injury<br>(physical and<br>psychological)<br>to patient/<br>visitor/staff. | Adverse event leading tos minor injury not requiring firt &d   | Minor injury or illness, firt a d treatment required.  | Agency reportable, e.g. Police (aiolent and aggressive acts). Significnt in ury requiring medical treatment and/or counselling. | Major injuries/long term incapacity or disability (loss of limb) requiring medical treatment and/or counselling.                   | Incident leading to death or major permanent incapacity.  |
| Complaints/<br>Claims  | Locally resolved verbal complaint  | Justifie written complaint peripheral to clinical care.  | Below exdess claim.<br>Justifie complaint involving<br>lack of appropriate care.  | Claim above excesslevel.<br>Multiple justifie complants  | Multiple claims <b>d</b> r single<br>major claim.<br>Complex justifie comp l <b>a</b> n .   |
| Service/<br>Business<br>Interruption                                       | Interruption in a service which does not impact on the delivery of patient care or the ability to continue to provide service. | Short term disruption to service with minor impact on patient care.  | Some disruption in service with unacceptable impact on patient care. Temporary loss of ability to provide service.              | Sustained loss of service which has serious impact on delivery of patient care resulting in major contingency plans being invoked. | Permanent loss of core service or facility. Disruption to facility leading to signifight "knock on" of fect.  |
| Staffin and  | Short term low staffin level temporarily reduces sergyice quality (< 1 day).   | Ongoing low staffin level reduces service quality  | Late delivery of key objective/<br>service due to lack of staff.<br>Moderate error due to<br>ineffective training/              | Uncertain delivery of key objective /service due to lack of staff.   | Non-delivery of key objective/<br>service due to lack of staff.<br>Loss of key staff.   |
| Competence   | Short term low staffin level (>1 day), where there is no disruption to patient care.   | <b>Minor error</b> due to ineffective training/implementation of training.                                     | implementation of training. Ongoing@roblems with staffin level s  | <b>Major error</b> due to ineffective training/implementation of training.   | <b>Critical error</b> due to ineffective training / implementation of training.   |
| Financial<br>(including<br>damage/loss/<br>fraud)                          | Negligible oæganisational/<br>personal finnci al loss (£<1k).  | Minor organisational/<br>personaldinnci al loss (£1-<br>10k).  | Significnt or gani sational / personal finnci of loss (£10-100k).   | Majar organisational/personal finnci à loss (£100k-1m).  | Severe organisational/<br>personal finnci a loss<br>(£>1m).   |
| Inspection/Audit   | Small number of recommendations which focus on minor quality improvement issues.   | Recommendations made which can be addressed by low level of management action.                                 | Challenging recommendations that can be addressed with appropriate action plan.   | Enforcement action. Low rating. Critical report.   | Prosecution. Zero rating. Severely critical report.   |
| Adverse<br>Publicity/<br>Reputation  | Rumours, no media coverage.  Little effect on staff morale.  | Local media coverage – short term. Some public embarrassment.  Minor effect on staff morale/ public attitudes. | Local media – long-term adverse aublicity.  Significnt & fect on staff morale and public perception of the organisation.        | National media/adverse publicity, less than 3 days.  Public confidnce in the organisation undermined.  Use of services affected.   | National/International media/<br>adverse publicity, more than<br>3 days.<br>MSP/MP concern (Questions<br>in Parliament).<br>Court Enforcement.<br>Public Enquiry/FAI. |

#### Table 2 - Likelihood Defintions

| Descriptor  | Rare  | Unlikely  | Possible  | Likely  | Almost Certain  |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Probability | <ul> <li>Can't believe this event<br/>would happen</li> <li>Will only happen in<br/>exceptional circumstances.</li> </ul> | Not expected to happen, but definte pot ent ial exists Unlikely to occur. | <ul> <li>May occur occasionally</li> <li>Has happened before on occasions</li> <li>Reasonable chance of occurring.</li> </ul> | Strong possibility that this could occur     Likely to occur. | This is expected to occur frequently/in most circumstances more likely to occur than not. |

Table 3 - Risk Matrix

| Likelihood     | Consequences/Impact |        |          |        |         |
|----------------|---------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|
|                | Negligible          | Minor  | Moderate | Major  | Extreme |
| Almost Certain | Medium              | High   | High     | V High | V High  |
| Likely         | Medium              | Medium | High     | High   | V High  |
| Possible       | Low                 | Medium | Medium   | High   | High    |
| Unlikely       | Low                 | Medium | Medium   | Medium | High    |
| Rare           | Low                 | Low    | Low      | Medium | Medium  |

References: AS/NZS 4360:2004 'Making It Work' (2004)

#### Table 4 - NHSG Response to Risk

Describes what NHSG considers each level of risk to represent and spells out the extent of response expected for each.

| Level of<br>Risk | Response to Risk   |
|------------------|--|
| Low              | Acceptable level of risk. No additional controls are required but any existing risk controls or contingency plans should be documented.  Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be ef fective.   |
| Medium           | Acceptable level of risk exposure subject to regular active monitoring measures by Managers/Risk Owners. Where appropriate further action shall be taken to reduce the risk but the cost of control will probably be modest. Managers/Risk Owners shall document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective.  Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  Relevant Managers/Directors/Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effective.  |
| High             | Further action should be taken to mitigate/reduce/control the risk, possibly urgently and possibly requiring significnt resources. Managers/Risk Owners must document that the risk controls or contingency plans are effective. Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be effective.  Relevant Managers/Directors/Executive and Assurance Committees will periodically seek assurance that these continue to be effectivenand confir that it is not reasonably practicable to do more. The Board may wish to seek assurance that risks of this level are being effectively managed.  However NHSG may wish to accept high risks that may result in reputation damage, finncial loss or exposure, major breakdown in information system or information integrital significant incidents(s) of regulatory non-compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public. |
| Very<br>High     | Unacceptable level of risk exposure that requires urgent and potentially immediate corrective action to be taken. Relevant Managers/Directors/E xecutive and Assurance Committees should be informed explicitly by the relevant Managers/Risk Owners.  Managers/Risk Owners should review these risks applying the minimum review table within the risk register process document to assess whether these continue to be ef fective. The Board will seek assurance that risks of this level are being ef fectively managed. However NHSG may wish to accept opportunities that have an inherent very high ris that may result in reputation damage, finnci alloss or exposure, major breakdown information system or information integrity, significnt incidents(s) of regulatory non compliance, potential risk of injury to staff and public.  |

Version March 2013